

10/717,610

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

11/21/2003

07/21/2004

7590

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

Song K. Jung MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22 www.uspto.gov	Trademark O FOR PATENTS	OMMERCE
	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRM	THON NO.
	9988.071.00-US	81	5
	EXAMINER GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
,			
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

**DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004** 

3749

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Gi Hyeong Do

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,610	DO, GI HYEONG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Stephen Gravini	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Experience.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/717,610

Art Unit: 3749

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krausch (US 6,158,148). Krausch is considered to disclose the claimed method comprising: initiating a drying procedure (please see column 4 lines 44-54);

measuring a temperature variation rate per unit time over the drying procedure (please see column 5 lines 10-14);

calculating an overall drying time based on the measured temperature variation rate per unit time (please see column 6 lines 10-26); and

performing the drying procedure for the calculated overall drying time (please see column 5 lines 15-29), and optionally;

wherein said calculating step is repeated if a substantial increase in the temperature variation rate is detected (please see column 5 line 46).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,610

Art Unit: 3749

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krausch. Krausch is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above in the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed temperature variation rate. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to claim the temperature variation rate, since that value has not been shown to have any patentable advantage over the variation rates found in the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,610

Art Unit: 3749

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References N and O, cited in this action, are considered to be the most relevant foreign patent publications with respect to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 703 308 7570. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira S. Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smg

Stephenm Shavin July 13, 2004